

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,913	06/04/2004	Leslie Fernandes	57640.010272	3912
34018 7	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			CYGAN, MICHAEL T	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CKER DRIVE		A D.M. LINUM	DADED NUMBER
SUITE 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	60601-1732		2855	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	Q .11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>111</u>			
	10/709,913	FERNANDES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cygan	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY						
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>24-30</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are objected to.	n alaatian manulusus sut					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · /				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		at received				
occ the attached detailed office action for a list	or the contined copies he	A TOUCHVOU.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, ——	o(s)/Mail Date				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/13/04</u>.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "pas" should be spelled "pad" at line 1 of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lancaster (US 4,750,189). Lancaster teaches, for a metal duct for the transport of hot pressurized air, the duct having a layer of insulation [12] wrapped therearound, a cuff [17] which is made of flexible material (Figures 4 and 5 show that the outer cuff material is bendable to provide a snug tongue-and-groove latch which acts to compress the gasket to form a seal), a void [16] created between cuff [17] and insulation [13] (see Figure 6), and a hole in the cuff [25] which is in fluid communication with the void [16]. A pad [22] is bonded to the interior of the cuff and bounds the insulation [12] over a portion, and does not touch

Application/Control Number: 10/709,913

Art Unit: 2855

the insulation at a different portion which is near the center of the cuff (where the hole [25] is placed); see Figures 3 and 6. The cuff is placed over a circumferential cut through the insulation shell (Figure 6). See entire document.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes (US 5,067,094). Hayes teaches, for a metal duct for the transport of hot pressurized air, the duct having a layer of insulation [30] wrapped therearound, a cuff [42] which is made of flexible steel, a void created between cuff [42] and insulation [30] (see Figure 2), and a hole in the cuff (at the points where thermocouples 34,36,and 38 extend through the cuff to touch the inner pipe [18]) which is in fluid communication with the void. See entire document.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein Art Unit: 2855

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

- 4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lancaster (US 4,750,189). Lancaster teaches the claimed invention except for the claimed materials. However, the use of silicon rubber gaskets having the claimed durometer range and the use of fiberglass/silicon rubber cuffs is of notorious character in the leak art, and, absent some showing of unexpected advantages specific to the combination, would therefore have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lancaster (US 4,750,189) in view of Brunet (US 6,799,452 B2). Lancaster teaches the claimed invention except for the cuff having a raised middle portion. Brunet teaches a cuff for a leak detection purpose having a raised middle portion (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a raised middle portion as taught by Brunet in the invention taught by

Lancaster, since this is shown by Brunet to result in a structurally strong cuff (column 1 lines 34-38).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 24-30 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 11-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL CYGAN, PHD.
PRIMARY EXAMINER